REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application. Claims 1-8 and 21-28 remain in the application. Of these, claims 1 and 3-8 stand rejected, claim 2 stands withdrawn, and claims 21-28 are newly presented.

Claims 9-20 have been canceled, without prejudice, as a result of the Examiner's restriction requirement.

1. Oath/Declaration

The Examiner indicates the Inventor's Declaration is defective as a result of typographical errors being found therein.

Applicants have reviewed their Declaration and can find no such typographical errors. Therefore, no correction is being made. A copy of the Inventor's Declaration is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

2. Rejection of Claims 1, 3 and 4 Under 35 USC 102(b)

Claims 1, 3 and 4 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Goenka et al. (US Pat. No. 6,248,247; hereinafter "Goenka").

The Examiner seems to downplay the product-by-process limitations of applicants' claim 1. Specifically, the Examiner cites MPEP §2113 and §2173.05(p) for the proposition that, "A process limitation cannot serve to patentably distinguish the product over the prior art, in the case that the product is the same, or obvious over, the prior art." See Examiner's Office Action. However, MPEP §2113 actually states:

The structure implied by the process steps should be considered when assessing the patentability of product-by-process claims over the prior art,

especially where the product can only be defined by the process steps by which the product is made, or where the manufacturing process steps would be expected to impart distinctive structural characteristics to the final product. See, e.g., In re Garnero, 412 F.2d 276, 279, 162 USPQ 221, 223 (CCPA 1979) (holding "interbonded by interfusion" to limit structure of the claimed composite and noting that terms such as "welded," "intermixed," "ground in place," "press fitted," and "etched" are capable of construction as structural limitations.).

In applicants' claim 1, a sacrificial material is thermally decomposed to leave a "crossover circuit trace" crossing over "one or more circuit components". Unlike Goenka's sacrificial material, part of which is **etched** away, and part of which remains to provide supporting "pedestals 16" for an upper circuit trace, applicants' sacrificial material is **thermally decomposed**. In this manner, applicants can precisely define the cross-section of an air bridge, and can avoid a random process that produces "hourglass" pedestals 16 of somewhat unknown dimensions.

Applicants' claim 1 is believed to be allowable for at least the above reason. Applicants' claims 3 and 4 are believed to be allowable at least for the reason that they depend from claim 1.

If applicants' claim 1 is allowed, applicants request the reinstatement and allowance of claim 2 (which stands withdrawn as being drawn to a non-examined species).

3. Rejection of Claims 5-8 Under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 5-8 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goenka, as applied to claims 1, 3, and 4 above, in view of Middlehurst et. al. (US Pat. No. 6,604,967; hereinafter "Middlehurst") and Leigh et. al. (US Pat. No. 5,986,893; hereinafter "Leigh").

Applicant believes claims 5-8 are allowable at least for the reason that they depend from an allowable claim 1, and because Middlehurst and Leigh fail to disclose the limitations of parent claim 1 (see previous argument, *supra*).

4. New Claims 21-27

Claims 21 – 27 are newly presented. Support for claim 21 is found, at least, in FIGS. 2 and 3. Applicants' claim 21 recites an additional novelty over Goenka. That is, the novelty that the "crossover circuit trace" is "directly supported by the substrate on opposite sides of the sacrificial material". In Goenka, the crossover circuit trace is fully supported by the remaining "pedestals" of sacrificial material. Claim 21 is therefore believed to be additionally allowable over Goenka. Claims 22-28 are believed to be allowable at least for the reason that they depend from claim 21.

5. Conclusion

Given the above Amendment and Remarks, applicants respectfully request the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted, DAHL & OSTERLOTH, L.L.P.

Gregory W. Osterloth Reg. No. 36,232

Tel: (303) 291-3200

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10020307-1

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

wy residence/post off	ice add	iress and citizenship are	e as stated below next	to my name;		
I believe I am the original joint inventor (if plura patent is sought on the METHODS FOR PROD	l name e inven		the subject matter wh	ich is claimed ar	iginal, first and nd for which	
the specification of w	hich is	attached hereto unless	the following box is c			
		as US App I was amended on			Application	
including the claims, a	as ame	eviewed and understoo nded by any amendme is material to patentab	ent(s) referred to abov	e. I acknowledo	specification ge the duty to	
inventor(s) certificate listed	ty benef below ar	of Foreign Priority its under Title 35, United St and have also identified below ation on which priority is cla	any foreign application for	any foreign applicatio patent or inventor(s)	n(s) for patent o certificate having	
COUNTRY		APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE FILED	PRIORITY CLAIMED UN	DER 35 U.S.C. 119	
N/A				YES:	NO:	
				YES:	NO:	
Provisional Application I hereby claim the benefit u below:	nder Title	e 35, United States Code Se	ection 119(e) of any United	States provisional ap	pplication(s) listed	
	Al	PPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE			
		N/A				
nformation as defined in Title	e 37, Coo	ph of Title 35, United States de of Federal Regulations, Se ternational filing date of this	ection 1.56(a) which occurre application:	ed between the filing	date of the prior	
N/A		The state of the s	STATUS (pa	tented/pending/abandoned)		
19/2	-					
					-	
OWER OF ATTORNEY: as a named inventor, I here usiness in the Patent and Tra Customer I	ademark	int the following attorney(s) Office connected therewith:	Place Customer Number Bar Code	ute this application	and transact all	
Send Correspondence to:		Direct Telephone Calls	Label here			
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Admit	-	Cynthia S. Mitchell	or or			
P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, Colorado 80537	7-0599	(970) 073-3130				
nade on information ar vith the knowledge th nprisonment, or both,	nd bel nat wil under	nents made herein of rief are believed to be fiful false statements Section 1001 of Title e the validity of the app	true; and further that and the like so mad 18 of the United State	these statements e are punishable es Code and that	were made by fine or	
ull Name of Inventor: Marvin Glenn Wong			Citizenship: USA			
	esidence: 93 Honey Hill Lane, Woodland Park, CO 80863					
esidence: <u>93</u>	noney	min Lane, woodiand Pa	IFK, CU 00003			

93 Honey Hill Lane, Woodland Park, CO 80863 Post Office Address:

JUNE 2003 1112

Inventor's Signature (Use Page Two For Additional Inventor(s) Signature(s))

Page 1 of 2

A. JRNEY DOCKET NO. 10020307-1 DECLARATION AND POWL. OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (continued) Full Name of # 2 joint inventor: John F. Casey Citizenship: USA 5135 Sapphire Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80918 Residence: 5135 Sapphire Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80918 Post Office Address: Full Name of # 3 joint inventor: Ling Liu Citizenship: P. R. China 505 Buckeye Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80919 Residence: 505 Buckeye Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80919 Post Office Address: Full Name of # 4 joint inventor: Citizenship: Residence: **Post Office Address:** Inventor's Signature Full Name of # 5 joint inventor: Residence: **Post Office Address:**

Date

Date

Date

Citizenship:

Citizenship:

Residence:

Inventor's Signature

Post Office Address:

Inventor's Signature

Post Office Address:

Inventor's Signature

Post Office Address:

Inventor's Signature

Residence:

Residence:

Full Name of # 6 joint inventor:

Full Name of # 7 joint inventor:

Full Name of # 8 joint inventor: